



# Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report ⓘ

Mar 3, 2018 – 11:57 AM EST

PDB ID : 6B1G  
Title : Solution structure of TDP-43 N-terminal domain dimer.  
Authors : Naik, M.T.; Wang, A.; Conicella, A.; Fawzi, N.L.  
Deposited on : 2017-09-18

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at [validation@mail.wwpdb.org](mailto:validation@mail.wwpdb.org)

A user guide is available at

<http://wwpdb.org/validation/2016/NMRValidationReportHelp>

with specific help available everywhere you see the ⓘ symbol.

---

The following versions of software and data (see [references ⓘ](#)) were used in the production of this report:

Cyrange	:	Kirchner and Güntert (2011)
NmrClust	:	Kelley et al. (1996)
MolProbity	:	4.02b-467
Percentile statistics	:	20161228.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 28th 2016)
RCI	:	v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV	:	Wang et al. (2010)
ShiftChecker	:	rb-20030736
Ideal geometry (proteins)	:	Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA)	:	Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP)	:	rb-20030736


# 1 Overall quality at a glance

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:

*SOLUTION NMR*

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 87%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	NMR archive (#Entries)
Clashscore	125131	11601
Ramachandran outliers	121729	10391
Sidechain outliers	121581	10367

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for  $\geq 3$ , 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions  $\leq 5\%$ .

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	A	83	 76% 13% • 6% •
2	B	83	 76% 17% • •

## 2 Ensemble composition and analysis

This entry contains 20 models. Model 18 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues			
Well-defined core	Residue range (total)	Backbone RMSD (Å)	Medoid model
1	A:4-A:78, B:102-B:178 (152)	0.37	18

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 5 clusters and 5 single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	1, 4, 12, 15
2	5, 10, 16, 18
3	11, 17, 20
4	3, 6
5	2, 7
Single-model clusters	8; 9; 13; 14; 19

### 3 Entry composition

There are 2 unique types of molecules in this entry. The entry contains 2449 atoms, of which 1203 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

- Molecule 1 is a protein called TAR DNA-binding protein 43, S48E Mutant.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms						Trace
1	A	80	Total	C	H	N	O	S	0
			1225	390	600	105	126	4	

There are 4 discrepancies between the modelled and reference sequences:

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
A	-2	GLY	-	expression tag	UNP Q13148
A	-1	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP Q13148
A	0	MET	-	expression tag	UNP Q13148
A	48	GLU	SER	engineered mutation	UNP Q13148

- Molecule 2 is a protein called TAR DNA-binding protein 43, Y4R Mutant.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms						Trace
2	B	80	Total	C	H	N	O	S	0
			1224	385	603	108	124	4	

There are 4 discrepancies between the modelled and reference sequences:

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
B	98	GLY	-	expression tag	UNP Q13148
B	99	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP Q13148
B	100	MET	-	expression tag	UNP Q13148
B	104	ARG	TYR	engineered mutation	UNP Q13148


## 4 Residue-property plots [i](#)

### 4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA and DNA chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

- Molecule 1: TAR DNA-binding protein 43, S48E Mutant

Chain A: 



- Molecule 2: TAR DNA-binding protein 43, Y4R Mutant

Chain B: 



### 4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble

Colouring as in section 4.1 above.


#### 4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1

- Molecule 1: TAR DNA-binding protein 43, S48E Mutant

Chain A: 




- Molecule 2: TAR DNA-binding protein 43, Y4R Mutant

Chain B: 




### 4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2

- Molecule 1: TAR DNA-binding protein 43, S48E Mutant




- Molecule 2: TAR DNA-binding protein 43, Y4R Mutant




### 4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3

- Molecule 1: TAR DNA-binding protein 43, S48E Mutant




- Molecule 2: TAR DNA-binding protein 43, Y4R Mutant




### 4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4

- Molecule 1: TAR DNA-binding protein 43, S48E Mutant




- Molecule 2: TAR DNA-binding protein 43, Y4R Mutant




### 4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

- Molecule 1: TAR DNA-binding protein 43, S48E Mutant




- Molecule 2: TAR DNA-binding protein 43, Y4R Mutant




### 4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6

- Molecule 1: TAR DNA-binding protein 43, S48E Mutant




- Molecule 2: TAR DNA-binding protein 43, Y4R Mutant




### 4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7

- Molecule 1: TAR DNA-binding protein 43, S48E Mutant




- Molecule 2: TAR DNA-binding protein 43, Y4R Mutant




### 4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8

- Molecule 1: TAR DNA-binding protein 43, S48E Mutant




- Molecule 2: TAR DNA-binding protein 43, Y4R Mutant




### 4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9

- Molecule 1: TAR DNA-binding protein 43, S48E Mutant




- Molecule 2: TAR DNA-binding protein 43, Y4R Mutant




### 4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10

- Molecule 1: TAR DNA-binding protein 43, S48E Mutant




- Molecule 2: TAR DNA-binding protein 43, Y4R Mutant






### 4.2.11 Score per residue for model 11

- Molecule 1: TAR DNA-binding protein 43, S48E Mutant




- Molecule 2: TAR DNA-binding protein 43, Y4R Mutant




### 4.2.12 Score per residue for model 12

- Molecule 1: TAR DNA-binding protein 43, S48E Mutant




- Molecule 2: TAR DNA-binding protein 43, Y4R Mutant




### 4.2.13 Score per residue for model 13

- Molecule 1: TAR DNA-binding protein 43, S48E Mutant




- Molecule 2: TAR DNA-binding protein 43, Y4R Mutant




#### 4.2.14 Score per residue for model 14

- Molecule 1: TAR DNA-binding protein 43, S48E Mutant




- Molecule 2: TAR DNA-binding protein 43, Y4R Mutant




#### 4.2.15 Score per residue for model 15

- Molecule 1: TAR DNA-binding protein 43, S48E Mutant




- Molecule 2: TAR DNA-binding protein 43, Y4R Mutant




#### 4.2.16 Score per residue for model 16

- Molecule 1: TAR DNA-binding protein 43, S48E Mutant




- Molecule 2: TAR DNA-binding protein 43, Y4R Mutant




### 4.2.17 Score per residue for model 17

- Molecule 1: TAR DNA-binding protein 43, S48E Mutant




- Molecule 2: TAR DNA-binding protein 43, Y4R Mutant




### 4.2.18 Score per residue for model 18 (medoid)

- Molecule 1: TAR DNA-binding protein 43, S48E Mutant




- Molecule 2: TAR DNA-binding protein 43, Y4R Mutant




### 4.2.19 Score per residue for model 19

- Molecule 1: TAR DNA-binding protein 43, S48E Mutant




- Molecule 2: TAR DNA-binding protein 43, Y4R Mutant




#### 4.2.20 Score per residue for model 20

- Molecule 1: TAR DNA-binding protein 43, S48E Mutant



- Molecule 2: TAR DNA-binding protein 43, Y4R Mutant



## 5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview

The models were refined using the following method: *simulated annealing*.

Of the 200 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the lowest energy*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
X-PLOR NIH	refinement	2.45
CYANA	structure calculation	3.0

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	6b1g_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	2
Total number of shifts	1895
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	1895
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	87%

No validations of the models with respect to experimental NMR restraints is performed at this time.

## 6 Model quality ⓘ

### 6.1 Standard geometry ⓘ

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

### 6.2 Too-close contacts ⓘ

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	584	561	560	10±2
2	B	595	575	574	10±2
All	All	23580	22720	22680	348

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 8.

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:34:GLN:HA	2:B:176:ASN:ND2	0.71	2.00	19	16
1:A:35:PHE:CE1	1:A:75:VAL:HG21	0.69	2.22	1	4
1:A:5:ILE:HG22	1:A:68:TRP:HB3	0.69	1.62	20	10
1:A:41:LEU:HD21	1:A:73:TYR:HB3	0.68	1.66	11	4
2:B:108:THR:O	2:B:174:VAL:HA	0.65	1.91	4	7
2:B:104:ARG:O	2:B:104:ARG:HD3	0.65	1.91	10	3
1:A:4:TYR:CE2	1:A:17:GLU:HB3	0.64	2.27	13	1
1:A:15:PRO:O	2:B:150:CYS:HB3	0.63	1.94	4	3
1:A:15:PRO:HG2	2:B:150:CYS:SG	0.61	2.34	18	7
1:A:36:PRO:CG	2:B:178:PRO:HA	0.61	2.26	15	2
1:A:70:ASN:OD1	1:A:71:LEU:HG	0.60	1.97	14	15
2:B:145:ASN:HB3	2:B:150:CYS:SG	0.59	2.38	13	1
1:A:41:LEU:HD23	1:A:42:ARG:N	0.59	2.12	7	5

*Continued on next page...*

*Continued from previous page...*

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
2:B:145:ASN:OD1	2:B:147:VAL:HB	0.59	1.98	9	5
1:A:34:GLN:OE1	2:B:142:ARG:HD3	0.58	1.98	3	1
2:B:102:SER:HB2	2:B:120:SER:O	0.58	1.98	12	5
2:B:105:ILE:O	2:B:117:GLU:HA	0.57	1.99	17	18
1:A:9:GLU:HB3	1:A:35:PHE:CE2	0.57	2.35	2	8
2:B:104:ARG:HD3	2:B:104:ARG:O	0.57	1.99	3	1
1:A:44:ARG:HB2	1:A:51:MET:SD	0.55	2.42	2	2
1:A:4:TYR:CE2	2:B:152:ARG:HD2	0.53	2.37	20	2
2:B:104:ARG:HD2	2:B:104:ARG:O	0.53	2.04	11	1
1:A:16:ILE:HG23	2:B:151:MET:O	0.53	2.02	7	9
1:A:68:TRP:HA	1:A:73:TYR:OH	0.53	2.04	15	3
1:A:20:SER:OG	1:A:26:VAL:HG22	0.52	2.04	6	1
1:A:5:ILE:HG22	1:A:68:TRP:CB	0.52	2.34	12	11
1:A:42:ARG:HG2	1:A:76:ASN:ND2	0.51	2.20	2	6
2:B:142:ARG:HG3	2:B:176:ASN:OD1	0.51	2.04	3	2
2:B:107:VAL:O	2:B:116:ILE:HG13	0.51	2.05	11	9
2:B:138:ALA:HB2	2:B:177:TYR:CE2	0.51	2.41	4	13
2:B:104:ARG:O	2:B:104:ARG:HD2	0.51	2.06	14	3
1:A:42:ARG:HG3	1:A:76:ASN:ND2	0.51	2.20	18	9
1:A:42:ARG:HB3	1:A:51:MET:SD	0.50	2.46	15	1
2:B:168:TRP:CE3	2:B:171:LEU:HD21	0.50	2.42	18	5
1:A:9:GLU:HA	1:A:75:VAL:HG12	0.50	1.84	14	3
2:B:105:ILE:HG12	2:B:118:ILE:O	0.50	2.07	8	16
2:B:148:SER:HB2	2:B:150:CYS:SG	0.50	2.47	13	3
2:B:106:ARG:HA	2:B:116:ILE:O	0.49	2.07	5	3
1:A:30:THR:HG23	2:B:154:VAL:O	0.49	2.07	8	1
1:A:30:THR:O	1:A:34:GLN:HG2	0.49	2.07	19	1
1:A:47:VAL:HB	1:A:48:GLU:OE2	0.49	2.07	2	3
2:B:146:PRO:HA	2:B:149:GLN:NE2	0.49	2.23	16	3
1:A:41:LEU:N	1:A:76:ASN:HD22	0.49	2.06	11	6
1:A:4:TYR:OH	2:B:152:ARG:HD2	0.49	2.08	4	1
1:A:17:GLU:HB2	2:B:152:ARG:HG2	0.48	1.84	11	2
1:A:29:SER:HB2	2:B:156:LEU:O	0.48	2.09	15	1
2:B:120:SER:CB	2:B:126:VAL:HG23	0.47	2.39	3	3
2:B:163:ALA:HB2	2:B:168:TRP:CE2	0.47	2.45	19	2
1:A:54:VAL:HG11	1:A:68:TRP:CH2	0.47	2.45	3	7
1:A:36:PRO:CB	2:B:178:PRO:HA	0.47	2.40	14	2
1:A:35:PHE:CD1	1:A:75:VAL:HG21	0.47	2.44	19	3
2:B:142:ARG:CB	2:B:153:GLY:HA2	0.46	2.40	2	3
1:A:5:ILE:HG23	1:A:20:SER:OG	0.46	2.10	3	2
1:A:25:THR:HB	1:A:60:ILE:CG2	0.46	2.41	14	2

*Continued on next page...*

*Continued from previous page...*

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:4:TYR:CZ	2:B:152:ARG:HD2	0.46	2.45	20	1
2:B:140:GLY:O	2:B:141:LEU:HD12	0.46	2.11	14	9
2:B:139:CYS:O	2:B:176:ASN:HB2	0.46	2.10	8	7
1:A:36:PRO:HG2	2:B:178:PRO:HA	0.46	1.86	15	1
2:B:145:ASN:ND2	2:B:147:VAL:HB	0.46	2.25	3	1
1:A:41:LEU:HD11	1:A:73:TYR:HB3	0.46	1.88	15	1
2:B:168:TRP:HE3	2:B:171:LEU:HD11	0.46	1.70	19	4
2:B:138:ALA:HB2	2:B:177:TYR:CZ	0.46	2.46	18	3
1:A:63:ALA:HB2	1:A:68:TRP:CE2	0.45	2.46	8	3
2:B:168:TRP:O	2:B:171:LEU:HG	0.45	2.12	2	1
1:A:43:TYR:HB3	1:A:73:TYR:CD2	0.45	2.46	14	3
1:A:10:ASP:OD2	1:A:12:ASN:HB2	0.45	2.12	5	1
2:B:107:VAL:HG21	2:B:141:LEU:HD22	0.45	1.88	8	1
1:A:17:GLU:CG	2:B:152:ARG:HD2	0.45	2.42	10	1
1:A:63:ALA:HB2	1:A:68:TRP:NE1	0.45	2.27	10	3
2:B:136:PRO:HD2	2:B:177:TYR:OH	0.45	2.11	2	4
1:A:5:ILE:HG12	1:A:18:ILE:HB	0.44	1.89	16	4
1:A:26:VAL:HG23	1:A:68:TRP:CZ2	0.44	2.47	18	2
2:B:118:ILE:HG22	2:B:130:THR:HG22	0.44	1.88	6	2
1:A:17:GLU:HB2	2:B:152:ARG:HB3	0.44	1.89	17	1
1:A:32:THR:HA	1:A:35:PHE:O	0.44	2.13	20	1
1:A:9:GLU:HA	1:A:75:VAL:CG1	0.43	2.42	14	2
1:A:26:VAL:HG23	1:A:68:TRP:CE2	0.43	2.48	8	2
1:A:41:LEU:HD22	1:A:54:VAL:CG2	0.43	2.43	17	1
2:B:106:ARG:N	2:B:106:ARG:HD2	0.43	2.27	9	1
2:B:143:TYR:OH	2:B:152:ARG:HD3	0.43	2.14	3	1
2:B:108:THR:HB	2:B:115:PRO:HA	0.43	1.89	8	2
1:A:33:ALA:HB1	2:B:140:GLY:HA3	0.43	1.90	8	2
1:A:34:GLN:O	1:A:36:PRO:HD3	0.43	2.11	9	1
2:B:173:TYR:CD1	2:B:174:VAL:HG23	0.43	2.49	11	1
2:B:103:GLU:HB3	2:B:120:SER:OG	0.43	2.14	19	1
2:B:128:LEU:HB2	2:B:159:GLY:O	0.42	2.14	16	2
1:A:41:LEU:HD21	1:A:73:TYR:CD2	0.42	2.50	4	1
2:B:142:ARG:HD3	2:B:176:ASN:OD1	0.42	2.14	20	1
2:B:134:GLN:NE2	2:B:134:GLN:HA	0.42	2.29	3	1
1:A:5:ILE:HD13	1:A:26:VAL:HG21	0.41	1.91	11	2
1:A:7:VAL:O	1:A:16:ILE:HB	0.41	2.15	14	2
2:B:104:ARG:HD2	2:B:104:ARG:C	0.41	2.36	11	1
1:A:5:ILE:O	1:A:17:GLU:HA	0.41	2.16	5	1
2:B:142:ARG:HD3	2:B:176:ASN:HD21	0.41	1.76	4	1
2:B:102:SER:HB3	2:B:120:SER:O	0.41	2.16	4	1

*Continued on next page...*



Continued from previous page...

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
2:B:104:ARG:HD3	2:B:117:GLU:OE1	0.41	2.15	20	1
1:A:33:ALA:HB2	2:B:156:LEU:HD22	0.41	1.93	10	3
2:B:145:ASN:HB2	2:B:152:ARG:CG	0.41	2.46	10	1
2:B:141:LEU:O	2:B:142:ARG:HD2	0.40	2.16	8	1
1:A:13:ASP:CG	1:A:14:GLU:H	0.40	2.20	12	1

## 6.3 Torsion angles

### 6.3.1 Protein backbone

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	75/83 (90%)	70±1 (93±2%)	4±1 (5±2%)	1±1 (2±1%)	16	60
2	B	77/83 (93%)	68±1 (88±2%)	8±2 (10±2%)	1±0 (2±1%)	16	60
All	All	3040/3320 (92%)	2751 (90%)	240 (8%)	49 (2%)	16	60

All 8 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	70	ASN	18
2	B	172	VAL	11
2	B	173	TYR	11
1	A	64	PRO	4
2	B	102	SER	2
1	A	78	PRO	1
2	B	164	PRO	1
1	A	69	GLY	1

### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	64/71 (90%)	59±1 (93±1%)	5±1 (7±1%)	21	67
2	B	66/71 (93%)	62±1 (94±2%)	4±1 (6±2%)	25	71
All	All	2600/2840 (92%)	2421 (93%)	179 (7%)	23	69

All 28 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
2	B	104	ARG	20
1	A	45	ASN	20
1	A	56	LEU	17
1	A	4	TYR	15
2	B	126	VAL	15
1	A	5	ILE	14
2	B	134	GLN	13
2	B	173	TYR	9
2	B	118	ILE	7
2	B	170	ASN	5
2	B	109	GLU	4
1	A	70	ASN	4
1	A	34	GLN	4
1	A	52	ARG	4
1	A	77	TYR	3
1	A	13	ASP	3
2	B	121	GLU	3
2	B	150	CYS	3
1	A	44	ARG	3
1	A	6	ARG	3
1	A	21	GLU	2
2	B	141	LEU	2
2	B	130	THR	1
2	B	151	MET	1
2	B	162	HIS	1
1	A	17	GLU	1
2	B	148	SER	1
1	A	51	MET	1

### 6.3.3 RNA ⓘ

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

## 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains [i](#)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

## 6.5 Carbohydrates [i](#)

There are no carbohydrates in this entry.

## 6.6 Ligand geometry [i](#)

There are no ligands in this entry.

## 6.7 Other polymers [i](#)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

## 6.8 Polymer linkage issues [i](#)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.

## 7 Chemical shift validation

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 87% for the well-defined parts and 87% for the entire structure.

### 7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: 6b1g\_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *S48E-3.1.bmr*

#### 7.1.1 Bookkeeping

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	947
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	947
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	4

#### 7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	Correction $\pm$ precision, ppm	Suggested action
$^{13}\text{C}_\alpha$	80	$0.05 \pm 0.21$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)
$^{13}\text{C}_\beta$	71	$0.26 \pm 0.25$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)
$^{13}\text{C}'$	72	$0.09 \pm 0.20$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)
$^{15}\text{N}$	74	$0.90 \pm 0.50$	None needed (imprecise)

#### 7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 43%, i.e. 782 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1811. 13 out of 30 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^1\text{H}$	$^{13}\text{C}$	$^{15}\text{N}$
Backbone	356/736 (48%)	144/292 (49%)	143/304 (47%)	69/140 (49%)
Sidechain	377/961 (39%)	231/559 (41%)	140/357 (39%)	6/45 (13%)

*Continued on next page...*

*Continued from previous page...*

	<b>Total</b>	<b><sup>1</sup>H</b>	<b><sup>13</sup>C</b>	<b><sup>15</sup>N</b>
Aromatic	49/114 (43%)	29/58 (50%)	19/50 (38%)	1/6 (17%)
Overall	782/1811 (43%)	404/909 (44%)	302/711 (42%)	76/191 (40%)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 44%, i.e. 834 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1911. 13 out of 30 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	<b>Total</b>	<b><sup>1</sup>H</b>	<b><sup>13</sup>C</b>	<b><sup>15</sup>N</b>
Backbone	379/776 (49%)	153/308 (50%)	152/320 (48%)	74/148 (50%)
Sidechain	406/1021 (40%)	250/595 (42%)	150/379 (40%)	6/47 (13%)
Aromatic	49/114 (43%)	29/58 (50%)	19/50 (38%)	1/6 (17%)
Overall	834/1911 (44%)	432/961 (45%)	321/749 (43%)	81/201 (40%)

#### 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts ⓘ


The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, ppm	Expected range, ppm	Z-score
1	A	63	ALA	HA	1.74	6.46 – 2.06	-5.7
1	A	63	ALA	HB2	-0.00	2.61 – 0.11	-5.5
1	A	63	ALA	HB3	-0.00	2.61 – 0.11	-5.5
1	A	63	ALA	HB1	-0.00	2.61 – 0.11	-5.5

#### 7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots ⓘ

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:



## 7.2 Chemical shift list 2

File name: 6b1g\_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *Y4R-3.1.bmrB*

### 7.2.1 Bookkeeping [i](#)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	948
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	948
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	4

### 7.2.2 Chemical shift referencing [i](#)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	Correction $\pm$ precision, ppm	Suggested action
$^{13}\text{C}_\alpha$	80	$0.19 \pm 0.16$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)
$^{13}\text{C}_\beta$	72	$0.20 \pm 0.16$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)
$^{13}\text{C}'$	73	$0.12 \pm 0.15$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)
$^{15}\text{N}$	74	$0.92 \pm 0.45$	Should be applied

### 7.2.3 Completeness of resonance assignments [i](#)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 44%, i.e. 802 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1811. 13 out of 30 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned

stereospecifically.

	<b>Total</b>	<b><sup>1</sup>H</b>	<b><sup>13</sup>C</b>	<b><sup>15</sup>N</b>
Backbone	367/736 (50%)	148/292 (51%)	148/304 (49%)	71/140 (51%)
Sidechain	392/961 (41%)	241/559 (43%)	146/357 (41%)	5/45 (11%)
Aromatic	43/114 (38%)	25/58 (43%)	17/50 (34%)	1/6 (17%)
Overall	802/1811 (44%)	414/909 (46%)	311/711 (44%)	77/191 (40%)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 44%, i.e. 835 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1911. 13 out of 30 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	<b>Total</b>	<b><sup>1</sup>H</b>	<b><sup>13</sup>C</b>	<b><sup>15</sup>N</b>
Backbone	380/776 (49%)	153/308 (50%)	153/320 (48%)	74/148 (50%)
Sidechain	412/1021 (40%)	254/595 (43%)	153/379 (40%)	5/47 (11%)
Aromatic	43/114 (38%)	25/58 (43%)	17/50 (34%)	1/6 (17%)
Overall	835/1911 (44%)	432/961 (45%)	323/749 (43%)	80/201 (40%)

#### 7.2.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts ⓘ

The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, ppm	Expected range, ppm	Z-score
2	B	163	ALA	HB3	-0.03	2.61 – 0.11	-5.5
2	B	163	ALA	HB2	-0.03	2.61 – 0.11	-5.5
2	B	163	ALA	HB1	-0.03	2.61 – 0.11	-5.5
2	B	163	ALA	HA	1.92	6.46 – 2.06	-5.3

#### 7.2.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots ⓘ

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain B:

