



# Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report ⓘ

Feb 13, 2020 – 05:08 PM EST

PDB ID : 2KFG  
Title : Structure of the C-terminal domain of EHD1 in complex with FNYESTDPF-TAK  
Authors : Kieken, F.; Jovic, M.; Tonelli, M.; Naslavsky, N.; Caplan, S.; Sorgen, P.  
Deposited on : 2009-02-20

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at [validation@mail.wwpdb.org](mailto:validation@mail.wwpdb.org)

A user guide is available at

<https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp>

with specific help available everywhere you see the ⓘ symbol.

---

The following versions of software and data (see [references ⓘ](#)) were used in the production of this report:

Cyrange : Kirchner and Güntert (2011)  
NmrClust : Kelley et al. (1996)  
MolProbity : 4.02b-467  
Percentile statistics : 20171227.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 27th 2017)  
RCI : v\_1n\_11\_5\_13\_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)  
PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)  
ShiftChecker : 2.4  
Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001)  
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)  
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.4


# 1 Overall quality at a glance

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:

*SOLUTION NMR*

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 86%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	NMR archive (#Entries)
Clashscore	136327	12091
Ramachandran outliers	132723	10835
Sidechain outliers	132532	10811

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for  $\geq 3$ , 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions  $\leq 5\%$

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	A	105	<div> <div>59%</div> <div>24%</div> <div>17%</div> </div>
2	B	12	<div> <div>25%</div> <div>8%</div> <div>67%</div> </div>

## 2 Ensemble composition and analysis

This entry contains 10 models. Model 8 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues			
Well-defined core	Residue range (total)	Backbone RMSD (Å)	Medoid model
1	A:44-A:130, B:149-B:152 (91)	0.35	8

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 2 clusters and 1 single-model cluster was found.

Cluster number	Models
1	2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10
2	1, 9
Single-model clusters	4

### 3 Entry composition

There are 3 unique types of molecules in this entry. The entry contains 1852 atoms, of which 928 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

- Molecule 1 is a protein called EH domain-containing protein 1.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms						Trace
1	A	105	Total	C	H	N	O	S	0
			1661	527	839	138	156	1	

There are 5 discrepancies between the modelled and reference sequences:

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
A	35	GLY	-	expression tag	UNP Q9H4M9
A	36	PRO	-	expression tag	UNP Q9H4M9
A	37	LEU	-	expression tag	UNP Q9H4M9
A	38	GLY	-	expression tag	UNP Q9H4M9
A	39	SER	-	expression tag	UNP Q9H4M9

- Molecule 2 is a protein called Rab11-FIP2 DPF peptide FNYESTDPFTAK.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms					Trace
2	B	12	Total	C	H	N	O	0
			190	65	89	14	22	

- Molecule 3 is CALCIUM ION (three-letter code: CA) (formula: Ca).


Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms	
3	A	1	Total	Ca
			1	1

## 4 Residue-property plots [i](#)

### 4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA and DNA chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

- Molecule 1: EH domain-containing protein 1



- Molecule 2: Rab11-FIP2 DPF peptide FNYESTDPFTAK




### 4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble

Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

#### 4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1

- Molecule 1: EH domain-containing protein 1




- Molecule 2: Rab11-FIP2 DPF peptide FNYESTDPFTAK



#### 4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2

- Molecule 1: EH domain-containing protein 1




- Molecule 2: Rab11-FIP2 DPF peptide FNYESTDPFTAK



#### 4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3

- Molecule 1: EH domain-containing protein 1




- Molecule 2: Rab11-FIP2 DPF peptide FNYESTDPFTAK



#### 4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4

- Molecule 1: EH domain-containing protein 1




- Molecule 2: Rab11-FIP2 DPF peptide FNYESTDPFTAK



#### 4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

- Molecule 1: EH domain-containing protein 1




- Molecule 2: Rab11-FIP2 DPF peptide FNYESTDPFTAK




#### 4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6

- Molecule 1: EH domain-containing protein 1



- Molecule 2: Rab11-FIP2 DPF peptide FNYESTDPFTAK





#### 4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7

- Molecule 1: EH domain-containing protein 1

Chain A: 64% 19% 17%



- Molecule 2: Rab11-FIP2 DPF peptide FNYESTDPFTAK


Chain B: 17% 17% 67%



#### 4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8 (medoid)


- Molecule 1: EH domain-containing protein 1

Chain A: 61% 21% 17%



- Molecule 2: Rab11-FIP2 DPF peptide FNYESTDPFTAK


Chain B: 33% 67%



#### 4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9

- Molecule 1: EH domain-containing protein 1

Chain A: 58% 23% 17%





- Molecule 2: Rab11-FIP2 DPF peptide FNYESTDPFTAK



Chain B:  25% 8% 67%



#### 4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10


- Molecule 1: EH domain-containing protein 1

Chain A:  55% 26% 17%



- Molecule 2: Rab11-FIP2 DPF peptide FNYESTDPFTAK

Chain B:  17% 17% 67%



## 5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview

The models were refined using the following method: *simulated annealing*.

Of the 30 calculated structures, 10 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the lowest energy*.

The authors did not provide any information on software used for structure solution, optimization or refinement.

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 6 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	2kfg_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	1273
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	1273
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	86%

No validations of the models with respect to experimental NMR restraints is performed at this time.

COVALENT-GEOMETRY INFOmissingINFO

### 5.1 Too-close contacts

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	683	704	701	15±2
2	B	33	27	27	1±1
3	A	1	0	0	2±0
All	All	7170	7310	7280	151

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 10.

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:114:LYS:O	1:A:118:GLU:HG2	0.69	1.86	3	4
1:A:116:LYS:HD3	1:A:122:LEU:HG	0.67	1.66	1	1
1:A:92:LEU:HD11	1:A:124:ALA:HA	0.67	1.67	5	2
1:A:94:ASP:OD1	3:A:141:CA:CA	0.65	1.73	5	9
1:A:96:ASP:OD2	3:A:141:CA:CA	0.65	1.73	5	2
1:A:66:LYS:HB3	1:A:100:LEU:HD11	0.65	1.67	10	1
1:A:98:ASP:OD1	3:A:141:CA:CA	0.65	1.73	2	6
1:A:71:ASN:HA	1:A:74:LYS:HE2	0.64	1.70	7	1
1:A:75:GLU:HA	1:A:78:LYS:HD3	0.64	1.70	5	1
1:A:71:ASN:HA	1:A:74:LYS:HD3	0.64	1.70	9	1
1:A:73:LYS:HD2	2:B:150:PRO:HB2	0.61	1.70	6	5
1:A:68:THR:HA	1:A:100:LEU:HD23	0.61	1.71	2	3
1:A:68:THR:HA	1:A:100:LEU:CD2	0.61	2.25	8	3
1:A:72:ALA:O	1:A:76:MET:HG3	0.60	1.97	2	10
1:A:76:MET:HB3	1:A:86:LEU:HD22	0.59	1.73	6	8
1:A:67:ILE:HB	1:A:71:ASN:OD1	0.58	1.98	6	4
1:A:71:ASN:HA	1:A:74:LYS:CE	0.58	2.28	7	1
1:A:98:ASP:OD2	1:A:100:LEU:HB2	0.58	1.99	4	7
1:A:62:PRO:HA	1:A:67:ILE:HG22	0.57	1.76	4	1
1:A:66:LYS:HD3	1:A:100:LEU:HB3	0.57	1.76	2	3
1:A:114:LYS:O	1:A:118:GLU:HG3	0.56	2.01	6	3
1:A:92:LEU:HD12	1:A:126:LEU:HD23	0.55	1.78	2	1
1:A:112:LEU:O	1:A:115:VAL:HG12	0.55	2.00	3	3
1:A:50:LYS:N	1:A:51:PRO:HD2	0.55	2.17	8	1
1:A:66:LYS:HD3	1:A:100:LEU:CB	0.55	2.32	1	3
1:A:73:LYS:HG3	2:B:151:PHE:CE1	0.54	2.38	2	1
1:A:115:VAL:HG13	1:A:120:HIS:HB2	0.53	1.79	4	2
1:A:92:LEU:HD22	1:A:124:ALA:HA	0.53	1.79	2	1
1:A:74:LYS:O	1:A:78:LYS:HG3	0.53	2.04	2	3
1:A:85:VAL:O	1:A:89:ILE:HG13	0.53	2.04	1	4
1:A:58:TYR:HA	1:A:62:PRO:HG3	0.52	1.80	2	4
1:A:85:VAL:O	1:A:88:LYS:HG2	0.52	2.04	8	1
1:A:74:LYS:HA	1:A:77:VAL:HG12	0.52	1.80	2	1
1:A:96:ASP:HB2	1:A:98:ASP:OD1	0.52	2.03	10	2
1:A:66:LYS:HB2	1:A:100:LEU:HD12	0.50	1.82	9	1
1:A:66:LYS:CD	1:A:100:LEU:HB3	0.50	2.35	3	1
1:A:111:HIS:O	1:A:115:VAL:HG23	0.50	2.07	1	2
1:A:81:LEU:HB2	1:A:86:LEU:HD21	0.49	1.84	10	3
1:A:56:ILE:O	1:A:60:LEU:HG	0.49	2.07	8	3
1:A:88:LYS:O	1:A:92:LEU:HG	0.49	2.08	3	1
1:A:98:ASP:OD2	1:A:100:LEU:HG	0.49	2.07	10	1
1:A:66:LYS:HB3	1:A:100:LEU:HB3	0.48	1.84	6	1

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:100:LEU:HD12	1:A:101:LEU:N	0.48	2.24	10	1
1:A:73:LYS:O	1:A:77:VAL:HG23	0.47	2.09	8	2
1:A:96:ASP:HB3	1:A:105:GLU:OE2	0.47	2.09	9	1
1:A:122:LEU:HD12	1:A:122:LEU:O	0.47	2.09	7	2
1:A:71:ASN:O	1:A:74:LYS:HB3	0.47	2.10	10	1
1:A:45:VAL:HG22	1:A:130:LEU:HD21	0.46	1.88	6	1
1:A:66:LYS:HD3	1:A:100:LEU:HB2	0.45	1.88	1	1
1:A:66:LYS:HD3	1:A:100:LEU:HD21	0.45	1.89	10	1
1:A:76:MET:SD	2:B:151:PHE:CZ	0.45	3.10	7	1
1:A:87:GLY:HA2	2:B:151:PHE:CD2	0.44	2.47	10	1
1:A:58:TYR:HA	1:A:62:PRO:HD3	0.44	1.90	10	1
1:A:67:ILE:HD11	1:A:106:PHE:CD1	0.43	2.47	10	2
1:A:86:LEU:HB3	2:B:151:PHE:CE1	0.43	2.48	5	1
1:A:51:PRO:HA	1:A:54:ASP:HB3	0.43	1.89	10	2
1:A:82:PRO:O	1:A:86:LEU:HG	0.43	2.14	2	2
1:A:68:THR:HA	1:A:100:LEU:HD22	0.42	1.92	8	1
1:A:50:LYS:N	1:A:51:PRO:CD	0.42	2.83	4	4
1:A:69:GLY:HA2	1:A:90:TRP:CH2	0.42	2.50	5	1
1:A:89:ILE:HG23	1:A:112:LEU:HD12	0.42	1.92	1	1
1:A:76:MET:HG2	1:A:113:ILE:HD11	0.41	1.91	3	1
1:A:90:TRP:HB2	2:B:151:PHE:HE2	0.41	1.75	5	1
1:A:116:LYS:HA	1:A:120:HIS:O	0.41	2.15	9	1
1:A:68:THR:HG22	1:A:100:LEU:HD21	0.41	1.92	3	1
1:A:93:ALA:HA	1:A:108:LEU:HD23	0.41	1.93	4	1
1:A:100:LEU:N	1:A:100:LEU:HD12	0.41	2.31	6	1
1:A:60:LEU:HD11	1:A:75:GLU:OE1	0.41	2.16	10	1
1:A:47:GLY:HA2	1:A:50:LYS:HG2	0.40	1.92	6	1

## 5.2 Torsion angles ⓘ

### 5.2.1 Protein backbone ⓘ

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	87/105 (83%)	84±1 (96±1%)	3±1 (3±1%)	0±0 (0±0%)	56	86
2	B	4/12 (33%)	4±0 (95±10%)	0±0 (5±10%)	0±0 (0±0%)	100	100

Continued on next page...

*Continued from previous page...*

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles
All	All	910/1170 (78%)	877 (96%)	32 (4%)	1 (0%)	56 86

All 1 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	61	SER	1

### 5.2.2 Protein sidechains ⓘ

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles
1	A	75/91 (82%)	71±2 (94±3%)	4±2 (6±3%)	27 74
2	B	4/11 (36%)	4±0 (100±0%)	0±0 (0±0%)	100 100
All	All	790/1020 (77%)	747 (95%)	43 (5%)	29 76

All 18 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	110	ASN	7
1	A	126	LEU	5
1	A	122	LEU	4
1	A	50	LYS	4
1	A	100	LEU	3
1	A	71	ASN	3
1	A	44	TRP	3
1	A	114	LYS	2
1	A	96	ASP	2
1	A	64	ASN	2
1	A	97	LYS	1
1	A	92	LEU	1
1	A	102	ASP	1
1	A	95	VAL	1
1	A	84	THR	1
1	A	54	ASP	1
1	A	88	LYS	1

*Continued on next page...*

*Continued from previous page...*

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	49	ASP	1

### 5.2.3 RNA [i](#)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

### 5.3 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains [i](#)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

### 5.4 Carbohydrates [i](#)

There are no carbohydrates in this entry.

### 5.5 Ligand geometry [i](#)

Of 1 ligands modelled in this entry, 1 is monoatomic - leaving 0 for Mogul analysis.

### 5.6 Other polymers [i](#)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

### 5.7 Polymer linkage issues [i](#)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.

## 6 Chemical shift validation

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 86% for the well-defined parts and 79% for the entire structure.

### 6.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: 2kfg\_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *assigned\_chem\_shift\_list\_1*

#### 6.1.1 Bookkeeping

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	1273
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	1273
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	6

#### 6.1.2 Chemical shift referencing

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	Correction $\pm$ precision, ppm	Suggested action
$^{13}\text{C}_\alpha$	99	$1.12 \pm 0.12$	Should be applied
$^{13}\text{C}_\beta$	93	$1.54 \pm 0.14$	Should be applied
$^{13}\text{C}'$	0	—	None (insufficient data)
$^{15}\text{N}$	86	$-0.99 \pm 0.32$	Should be applied

#### 6.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 86%, i.e. 958 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1119. 19 out of 19 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^1\text{H}$	$^{13}\text{C}$	$^{15}\text{N}$
Backbone	339/441 (77%)	175/175 (100%)	87/182 (48%)	77/84 (92%)
Sidechain	540/587 (92%)	337/342 (99%)	199/229 (87%)	4/16 (25%)

*Continued on next page...*

*Continued from previous page...*

	<b>Total</b>	<b><sup>1</sup>H</b>	<b><sup>13</sup>C</b>	<b><sup>15</sup>N</b>
Aromatic	79/91 (87%)	47/47 (100%)	31/36 (86%)	1/8 (12%)
Overall	958/1119 (86%)	559/564 (99%)	317/447 (71%)	82/108 (76%)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 79%, i.e. 1135 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1438. 21 out of 22 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	<b>Total</b>	<b><sup>1</sup>H</b>	<b><sup>13</sup>C</b>	<b><sup>15</sup>N</b>
Backbone	396/565 (70%)	211/224 (94%)	99/234 (42%)	86/107 (80%)
Sidechain	651/757 (86%)	419/444 (94%)	228/288 (79%)	4/25 (16%)
Aromatic	88/116 (76%)	55/60 (92%)	32/46 (70%)	1/10 (10%)
Overall	1135/1438 (79%)	685/728 (94%)	359/568 (63%)	91/142 (64%)

#### 6.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts ⓘ

The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.


Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, ppm	Expected range, ppm	Z-score
1	A	46	VAL	HB	-1.19	3.59 – 0.39	-10.0
1	A	110	ASN	HD22	3.94	9.59 – 4.69	-6.5
1	A	103	ASP	HA	2.83	6.15 – 3.05	-5.7
1	A	94	ASP	HB2	1.21	4.07 – 1.37	-5.6
1	A	65	GLY	N	130.59	129.07 – 90.27	5.4
1	A	132	PRO	HA	2.70	6.05 – 2.75	-5.2

#### 6.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots ⓘ

The images below report *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:





Random coil index (RCI) for chain B:

