



# Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report ⓘ

May 28, 2020 – 11:29 pm BST

PDB ID : 2LUM  
Title : Three-State Ensemble obtained from eNOEs of the Third Immunoglobulin Binding Domain of Protein G (GB3)  
Authors : Vogeli, B.; Kazemi, S.; Guntert, P.; Riek, R.  
Deposited on : 2012-06-18

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at [validation@mail.wwpdb.org](mailto:validation@mail.wwpdb.org)

A user guide is available at

<https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp>

with specific help available everywhere you see the ⓘ symbol.

---

The following versions of software and data (see [references ⓘ](#)) were used in the production of this report:

Cyrange : Kirchner and Güntert (2011)  
NmrClust : Kelley et al. (1996)  
MolProbity : 4.02b-467  
Percentile statistics : 20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)  
RCI : v\_1n\_11\_5\_13\_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)  
PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)  
ShiftChecker : 2.11  
Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001)  
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)  
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.11


# 1 Overall quality at a glance

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:

*SOLUTION NMR*

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 82%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	NMR archive (#Entries)
Clashscore	158937	12864
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for  $\geq 3$ , 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions  $\leq 5\%$ .

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	A	56	 75% 23% .

## 2 Ensemble composition and analysis

This entry contains 60 models. Model 25 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues			
Well-defined core	Residue range (total)	Backbone RMSD (Å)	Medoid model
1	A:1-A:56 (56)	0.35	25

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 3 clusters. No single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 49, 53
2	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20
3	33, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 50, 51, 52, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60

### 3 Entry composition

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 859 atoms, of which 423 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

- Molecule 1 is a protein called Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms							Trace
1	A	56	Total	C	H	N	O	S		0
			859	274	423	69	92	1		

There are 2 discrepancies between the modelled and reference sequences:


Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
A	1	MET	-	EXPRESSION TAG	UNP P19909
A	2	GLN	-	EXPRESSION TAG	UNP P19909

## 4 Residue-property plots [i](#)

### 4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA and DNA chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




### 4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble

Colouring as in section [4.1](#) above.


#### 4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




#### 4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




### 4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




### 4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




### 4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




### 4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




### 4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




### 4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




### 4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




### 4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




### 4.2.11 Score per residue for model 11

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




### 4.2.12 Score per residue for model 12

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




### 4.2.13 Score per residue for model 13

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




### 4.2.14 Score per residue for model 14

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




### 4.2.15 Score per residue for model 15

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




### 4.2.16 Score per residue for model 16

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G



### 4.2.17 Score per residue for model 17


- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G






#### 4.2.18 Score per residue for model 18

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




#### 4.2.19 Score per residue for model 19

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




#### 4.2.20 Score per residue for model 20

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




#### 4.2.21 Score per residue for model 21

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




#### 4.2.22 Score per residue for model 22

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




#### 4.2.23 Score per residue for model 23

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




#### 4.2.24 Score per residue for model 24

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




#### 4.2.25 Score per residue for model 25 (medoid)

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




#### 4.2.26 Score per residue for model 26

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




#### 4.2.27 Score per residue for model 27

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




#### 4.2.28 Score per residue for model 28

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




#### 4.2.29 Score per residue for model 29

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




#### 4.2.30 Score per residue for model 30

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




#### 4.2.31 Score per residue for model 31

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




#### 4.2.32 Score per residue for model 32

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




#### 4.2.33 Score per residue for model 33

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




#### 4.2.34 Score per residue for model 34

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




#### 4.2.35 Score per residue for model 35

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




#### 4.2.36 Score per residue for model 36

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




#### 4.2.37 Score per residue for model 37

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




#### 4.2.38 Score per residue for model 38

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




#### 4.2.39 Score per residue for model 39

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




#### 4.2.40 Score per residue for model 40

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




#### 4.2.41 Score per residue for model 41

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




#### 4.2.42 Score per residue for model 42

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




#### 4.2.43 Score per residue for model 43

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




#### 4.2.44 Score per residue for model 44

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




#### 4.2.45 Score per residue for model 45

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




#### 4.2.46 Score per residue for model 46

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




#### 4.2.47 Score per residue for model 47

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




## 4.2.48 Score per residue for model 48

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




## 4.2.49 Score per residue for model 49

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




## 4.2.50 Score per residue for model 50

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




## 4.2.51 Score per residue for model 51

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




## 4.2.52 Score per residue for model 52

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




#### 4.2.53 Score per residue for model 53

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




#### 4.2.54 Score per residue for model 54

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




#### 4.2.55 Score per residue for model 55

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




#### 4.2.56 Score per residue for model 56

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G



#### 4.2.57 Score per residue for model 57


- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G






#### 4.2.58 Score per residue for model 58

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G




#### 4.2.59 Score per residue for model 59

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G



#### 4.2.60 Score per residue for model 60

- Molecule 1: Immunoglobulin G-binding protein G



## 5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview

The models were refined using the following method: *torsion angle dynamics*.

Of the 100 calculated structures, 60 were deposited, based on the following criterion: ?.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
CYANA	structure solution	
CYANA	refinement	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	input_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	625
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	625
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	82%

No validations of the models with respect to experimental NMR restraints is performed at this time.

## 6 Model quality

### 6.1 Standard geometry

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

### 6.2 Too-close contacts

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	436	423	425	7±3
All	All	26160	25380	25500	449

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 9.

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:20:ALA:HB1	1:A:25:THR:HG23	1.03	1.28	6	12
1:A:20:ALA:HB3	1:A:26:ALA:HB2	0.72	1.60	19	29
1:A:5:LEU:HD22	1:A:30:PHE:CD1	0.69	2.23	28	23
1:A:26:ALA:HB1	1:A:30:PHE:CE2	0.68	2.24	59	4
1:A:20:ALA:CB	1:A:26:ALA:HB2	0.65	2.22	4	25
1:A:42:VAL:HG12	1:A:44:THR:HG23	0.64	1.67	9	1
1:A:21:VAL:HG23	1:A:22:ASP:OD2	0.64	1.93	16	8
1:A:18:THR:HG22	1:A:30:PHE:CZ	0.64	2.28	41	32
1:A:5:LEU:HD23	1:A:16:THR:CG2	0.63	2.24	31	7
1:A:39:VAL:HG13	1:A:56:GLU:HG3	0.63	1.70	31	2
1:A:34:ALA:HB1	1:A:39:VAL:O	0.62	1.94	39	21
1:A:49:THR:O	1:A:50:LYS:C	0.62	2.38	51	40
1:A:5:LEU:HD11	1:A:54:VAL:HG12	0.61	1.72	34	7
1:A:5:LEU:HD22	1:A:30:PHE:HB3	0.61	1.73	59	9

*Continued on next page...*

*Continued from previous page...*

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:20:ALA:HB1	1:A:25:THR:CG2	0.61	2.26	38	8
1:A:39:VAL:HG13	1:A:56:GLU:CG	0.61	2.25	34	2
1:A:23:ALA:HB2	1:A:45:TYR:CE1	0.61	2.30	51	1
1:A:12:LEU:HD23	1:A:39:VAL:CG2	0.60	2.27	38	12
1:A:46:ASP:OD2	1:A:48:ALA:HB3	0.59	1.97	17	20
1:A:5:LEU:HD22	1:A:52:PHE:HB2	0.59	1.74	12	1
1:A:20:ALA:HB1	1:A:25:THR:HB	0.58	1.74	36	6
1:A:5:LEU:HD11	1:A:54:VAL:CG1	0.58	2.29	34	2
1:A:6:VAL:HG22	1:A:15:GLU:OE2	0.57	1.99	39	4
1:A:7:ILE:CG2	1:A:39:VAL:HG11	0.57	2.30	55	3
1:A:46:ASP:OD2	1:A:49:THR:HG22	0.57	1.99	53	3
1:A:12:LEU:HD23	1:A:39:VAL:HG23	0.57	1.76	16	2
1:A:5:LEU:HD11	1:A:43:TRP:CH2	0.57	2.35	31	1
1:A:5:LEU:HD23	1:A:30:PHE:CD1	0.56	2.36	12	1
1:A:42:VAL:CG1	1:A:44:THR:HG23	0.56	2.31	9	1
1:A:4:LYS:HA	1:A:17:THR:HG22	0.56	1.77	37	4
1:A:5:LEU:HD22	1:A:52:PHE:CB	0.55	2.32	12	1
1:A:39:VAL:HG13	1:A:56:GLU:OE2	0.55	2.01	14	2
1:A:18:THR:HG22	1:A:30:PHE:CE1	0.55	2.37	45	8
1:A:5:LEU:HB3	1:A:16:THR:HG23	0.55	1.78	54	4
1:A:5:LEU:HD12	1:A:52:PHE:O	0.55	2.02	19	2
1:A:5:LEU:HD22	1:A:30:PHE:CE1	0.54	2.38	26	4
1:A:5:LEU:HD23	1:A:16:THR:OG1	0.54	2.02	6	4
1:A:5:LEU:HD11	1:A:54:VAL:HG23	0.53	1.79	11	2
1:A:39:VAL:HG13	1:A:56:GLU:HG2	0.53	1.81	5	1
1:A:5:LEU:HD11	1:A:54:VAL:CG2	0.53	2.34	55	4
1:A:21:VAL:HG23	1:A:22:ASP:OD1	0.53	2.02	42	1
1:A:5:LEU:HD23	1:A:16:THR:HG23	0.53	1.79	28	1
1:A:3:TYR:O	1:A:17:THR:HG22	0.53	2.04	25	7
1:A:18:THR:HG22	1:A:30:PHE:CE2	0.53	2.39	32	6
1:A:5:LEU:HD23	1:A:16:THR:HB	0.52	1.82	20	1
1:A:46:ASP:HB3	1:A:49:THR:HG22	0.52	1.81	18	14
1:A:22:ASP:OD1	1:A:25:THR:HG22	0.51	2.05	32	2
1:A:49:THR:HG23	1:A:51:THR:OG1	0.51	2.06	56	6
1:A:42:VAL:HG22	1:A:55:THR:HB	0.51	1.83	59	1
1:A:4:LYS:HD3	1:A:17:THR:HG22	0.50	1.82	57	1
1:A:7:ILE:HG23	1:A:39:VAL:HG11	0.50	1.83	19	3
1:A:31:LYS:O	1:A:34:ALA:HB3	0.50	2.07	13	12
1:A:34:ALA:HB1	1:A:39:VAL:HB	0.49	1.83	45	7
1:A:4:LYS:HG3	1:A:17:THR:HG22	0.48	1.85	46	2
1:A:12:LEU:HD23	1:A:39:VAL:HG21	0.48	1.83	20	3

*Continued on next page...*

Continued from previous page...

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:39:VAL:HG13	1:A:56:GLU:OE1	0.48	2.08	47	1
1:A:12:LEU:HD21	1:A:37:ASN:OD1	0.48	2.08	26	4
1:A:3:TYR:CE1	1:A:20:ALA:HB3	0.47	2.43	9	4
1:A:12:LEU:HD12	1:A:13:LYS:N	0.47	2.24	39	6
1:A:5:LEU:HD23	1:A:16:THR:HG22	0.47	1.86	14	2
1:A:5:LEU:HD21	1:A:7:ILE:HD11	0.47	1.86	53	1
1:A:4:LYS:CE	1:A:17:THR:HG22	0.47	2.40	51	1
1:A:20:ALA:HB2	1:A:26:ALA:HB2	0.46	1.87	9	1
1:A:6:VAL:HG22	1:A:15:GLU:CG	0.46	2.41	7	1
1:A:5:LEU:HD12	1:A:6:VAL:N	0.46	2.26	37	3
1:A:5:LEU:HD11	1:A:54:VAL:HG21	0.46	1.86	44	3
1:A:42:VAL:O	1:A:54:VAL:HG23	0.45	2.11	57	1
1:A:7:ILE:HA	1:A:54:VAL:HG13	0.45	1.89	34	3
1:A:5:LEU:HD22	1:A:30:PHE:HD1	0.44	1.72	14	2
1:A:5:LEU:HD13	1:A:30:PHE:HB3	0.44	1.90	45	2
1:A:26:ALA:O	1:A:29:ALA:HB3	0.44	2.13	48	2
1:A:12:LEU:HD23	1:A:39:VAL:HG22	0.43	1.90	3	1
1:A:5:LEU:HD12	1:A:6:VAL:H	0.43	1.73	11	3
1:A:23:ALA:HA	1:A:26:ALA:HB3	0.43	1.91	50	2
1:A:5:LEU:HB3	1:A:16:THR:HG22	0.43	1.90	12	1
1:A:22:ASP:O	1:A:25:THR:HG22	0.42	2.14	4	2
1:A:42:VAL:HG12	1:A:55:THR:HG22	0.42	1.90	3	1
1:A:12:LEU:HD21	1:A:37:ASN:HB3	0.42	1.90	42	2
1:A:3:TYR:CE2	1:A:20:ALA:HB3	0.42	2.49	26	1
1:A:16:THR:HG21	1:A:33:TYR:CE2	0.42	2.50	35	1
1:A:5:LEU:HD12	1:A:52:PHE:CB	0.41	2.46	14	1
1:A:42:VAL:HB	1:A:55:THR:HG22	0.41	1.92	47	1
1:A:16:THR:HG21	1:A:33:TYR:CD2	0.41	2.51	24	2
1:A:42:VAL:HG13	1:A:55:THR:OG1	0.41	2.15	27	1
1:A:3:TYR:HE2	1:A:20:ALA:HB3	0.40	1.75	26	1
1:A:21:VAL:O	1:A:21:VAL:HG22	0.40	2.16	8	1
1:A:43:TRP:NE1	1:A:54:VAL:HG23	0.40	2.32	37	1

## 6.3 Torsion angles ⓘ

### 6.3.1 Protein backbone ⓘ

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	54/56 (96%)	49±2 (92±4%)	4±2 (8±3%)	1±1 (1±1%)	20	68
All	All	3240/3360 (96%)	2965 (92%)	243 (8%)	32 (1%)	20	68

All 4 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	50	LYS	18
1	A	39	VAL	6
1	A	2	GLN	5
1	A	53	THR	3

### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains ⓘ

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	46/46 (100%)	37±2 (80±5%)	9±2 (20±5%)	3	33
All	All	2760/2760 (100%)	2203 (80%)	557 (20%)	3	33

All 34 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	50	LYS	53
1	A	28	LYS	39
1	A	19	LYS	34
1	A	1	MET	30
1	A	47	ASP	30
1	A	45	TYR	28
1	A	13	LYS	26
1	A	55	THR	25
1	A	32	GLN	24
1	A	4	LYS	21
1	A	56	GLU	21
1	A	31	LYS	21
1	A	11	THR	20
1	A	2	GLN	19

*Continued on next page...*

*Continued from previous page...*

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	10	LYS	18
1	A	8	ASN	16
1	A	53	THR	16
1	A	36	ASP	15
1	A	49	THR	13
1	A	16	THR	13
1	A	22	ASP	12
1	A	44	THR	12
1	A	27	GLU	10
1	A	15	GLU	10
1	A	37	ASN	6
1	A	24	GLU	5
1	A	17	THR	5
1	A	40	ASP	3
1	A	18	THR	3
1	A	52	PHE	3
1	A	5	LEU	2
1	A	30	PHE	2
1	A	35	ASN	1
1	A	33	TYR	1

### 6.3.3 RNA ⓘ

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

### 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains ⓘ

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

### 6.5 Carbohydrates ⓘ

There are no carbohydrates in this entry.

### 6.6 Ligand geometry ⓘ

There are no ligands in this entry.

## 6.7 Other polymers [i](#)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

## 6.8 Polymer linkage issues [i](#)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



## 7 Chemical shift validation

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 82% for the well-defined parts and 82% for the entire structure.

### 7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: input\_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *assigned\_chem\_shift\_list\_1*

#### 7.1.1 Bookkeeping

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	625
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	625
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	2

#### 7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	Correction $\pm$ precision, ppm	Suggested action
$^{13}\text{C}_\alpha$	56	$-0.19 \pm 0.30$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)
$^{13}\text{C}_\beta$	52	$0.09 \pm 0.14$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)
$^{13}\text{C}'$	0	—	None (insufficient data)
$^{15}\text{N}$	54	$-0.21 \pm 0.62$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)

#### 7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 82%, i.e. 534 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 649. 7 out of 7 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^1\text{H}$	$^{13}\text{C}$	$^{15}\text{N}$
Backbone	221/280 (79%)	111/112 (99%)	56/112 (50%)	54/56 (96%)
Sidechain	260/315 (83%)	168/179 (94%)	92/124 (74%)	0/12 (0%)

*Continued on next page...*

*Continued from previous page...*

	<b>Total</b>	<b><sup>1</sup>H</b>	<b><sup>13</sup>C</b>	<b><sup>15</sup>N</b>
Aromatic	53/54 (98%)	28/28 (100%)	25/25 (100%)	0/1 (0%)
Overall	534/649 (82%)	307/319 (96%)	173/261 (66%)	54/69 (78%)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 82%, i.e. 534 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 649. 7 out of 7 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	<b>Total</b>	<b><sup>1</sup>H</b>	<b><sup>13</sup>C</b>	<b><sup>15</sup>N</b>
Backbone	221/280 (79%)	111/112 (99%)	56/112 (50%)	54/56 (96%)
Sidechain	260/315 (83%)	168/179 (94%)	92/124 (74%)	0/12 (0%)
Aromatic	53/54 (98%)	28/28 (100%)	25/25 (100%)	0/1 (0%)
Overall	534/649 (82%)	307/319 (96%)	173/261 (66%)	54/69 (78%)

#### 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts ⓘ

The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, ppm	Expected range, ppm	Z-score
1	A	5	LEU	HB3	-1.20	3.34 – -0.26	-7.6
1	A	54	VAL	HB	-0.37	3.59 – 0.39	-7.4

#### 7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots ⓘ

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:

