



# Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report ⓘ

May 29, 2020 – 12:14 am BST

PDB ID : 2N4S  
Title : NMR structure of Fbp28 WW domain L453E mutant  
Authors : Medina, J.; Macias, M.; Martin-Malpartida, P.; Scheraga, H.A.  
Deposited on : 2015-07-01

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at [validation@mail.wwpdb.org](mailto:validation@mail.wwpdb.org)

A user guide is available at

<https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp>

with specific help available everywhere you see the ⓘ symbol.

---

The following versions of software and data (see [references ⓘ](#)) were used in the production of this report:

Cyrange : Kirchner and Güntert (2011)  
NmrClust : Kelley et al. (1996)  
MolProbity : 4.02b-467  
Percentile statistics : 20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)  
RCI : v\_1n\_11\_5\_13\_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)  
PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)  
ShiftChecker : 2.11  
Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001)  
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)  
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.11


# 1 Overall quality at a glance

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:

*SOLUTION NMR*

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 43%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	NMR archive (#Entries)
Clashscore	158937	12864
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for  $\geq 3$ , 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions  $\leq 5\%$

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	A	37	

## 2 Ensemble composition and analysis

This entry contains 20 models. Model 1 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models).

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues			
Well-defined core	Residue range (total)	Backbone RMSD (Å)	Medoid model
1	A:434-A:463 (30)	0.23	1

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 3 clusters. No single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	4, 8, 9, 10, 13, 14, 16, 17, 20
2	1, 3, 5, 6, 7, 11, 15
3	2, 12, 18, 19

### 3 Entry composition

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 593 atoms, of which 283 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

- Molecule 1 is a protein called Transcription elongation regulator 1.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms					Trace
1	A	37	Total	C	H	N	O	0
			593	194	283	49	67	

There is a discrepancy between the modelled and reference sequences:

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
A	453	GLU	LEU	engineered mutation	UNP O14776

## 4 Residue-property plots

### 4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA and DNA chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

- Molecule 1: Transcription elongation regulator 1




### 4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble

Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

#### 4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1 (medoid)

- Molecule 1: Transcription elongation regulator 1




#### 4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2

- Molecule 1: Transcription elongation regulator 1



### 4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3

- Molecule 1: Transcription elongation regulator 1




### 4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4

- Molecule 1: Transcription elongation regulator 1




### 4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

- Molecule 1: Transcription elongation regulator 1




### 4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6

- Molecule 1: Transcription elongation regulator 1




### 4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7

- Molecule 1: Transcription elongation regulator 1



### 4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8

- Molecule 1: Transcription elongation regulator 1



### 4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9

- Molecule 1: Transcription elongation regulator 1




### 4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10

- Molecule 1: Transcription elongation regulator 1




### 4.2.11 Score per residue for model 11

- Molecule 1: Transcription elongation regulator 1



### 4.2.12 Score per residue for model 12

- Molecule 1: Transcription elongation regulator 1




### 4.2.13 Score per residue for model 13

- Molecule 1: Transcription elongation regulator 1



### 4.2.14 Score per residue for model 14

- Molecule 1: Transcription elongation regulator 1




### 4.2.15 Score per residue for model 15

- Molecule 1: Transcription elongation regulator 1



### 4.2.16 Score per residue for model 16

- Molecule 1: Transcription elongation regulator 1



### 4.2.17 Score per residue for model 17


- Molecule 1: Transcription elongation regulator 1





#### 4.2.18 Score per residue for model 18

- Molecule 1: Transcription elongation regulator 1




#### 4.2.19 Score per residue for model 19

- Molecule 1: Transcription elongation regulator 1



#### 4.2.20 Score per residue for model 20

- Molecule 1: Transcription elongation regulator 1



## 5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview

The models were refined using the following method: *simulated annealing*.

Of the 300 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the lowest energy*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
CNSSOLVE	structure solution	
CNSSOLVE	refinement	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 6 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	input_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	224
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	224
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	43%

No validations of the models with respect to experimental NMR restraints is performed at this time.

COVALENT-GEOMETRY INFOmissingINFO

### 5.1 Too-close contacts

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	266	234	234	11±2
All	All	5320	4680	4680	221

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 22.

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:439:LYS:HD2	1:A:444:LYS:HA	0.95	1.37	13	20
1:A:439:LYS:HD3	1:A:444:LYS:C	0.76	2.00	19	20
1:A:439:LYS:HD2	1:A:444:LYS:CA	0.75	2.11	15	20
1:A:439:LYS:CD	1:A:444:LYS:HA	0.73	2.13	13	20
1:A:434:GLU:HG2	1:A:451:ARG:HG3	0.73	1.59	9	1
1:A:439:LYS:CD	1:A:444:LYS:CA	0.68	2.71	10	20
1:A:434:GLU:HG2	1:A:449:ASN:ND2	0.68	2.04	6	8
1:A:439:LYS:HD3	1:A:445:THR:N	0.67	2.05	9	20
1:A:449:ASN:HB3	1:A:454:GLU:HG2	0.64	1.69	18	8
1:A:449:ASN:HB3	1:A:454:GLU:CG	0.55	2.31	12	2
1:A:439:LYS:CD	1:A:444:LYS:C	0.54	2.76	18	20
1:A:437:GLU:HB3	1:A:447:TYR:CE1	0.53	2.38	2	2
1:A:439:LYS:HE3	1:A:445:THR:HG23	0.53	1.80	3	5
1:A:438:TYR:O	1:A:445:THR:HA	0.53	2.03	7	18
1:A:434:GLU:HG3	1:A:449:ASN:ND2	0.52	2.19	14	2
1:A:447:TYR:CD2	1:A:460:PRO:HD3	0.52	2.40	3	2
1:A:437:GLU:HB3	1:A:447:TYR:CD1	0.49	2.42	1	3
1:A:434:GLU:OE2	1:A:451:ARG:HD3	0.49	2.07	12	2
1:A:444:LYS:HE3	1:A:457:TRP:CZ3	0.48	2.43	10	1
1:A:439:LYS:HB2	1:A:439:LYS:NZ	0.48	2.23	11	1
1:A:439:LYS:HZ3	1:A:439:LYS:HB2	0.48	1.68	15	1
1:A:434:GLU:CG	1:A:451:ARG:HG3	0.47	2.37	9	1
1:A:449:ASN:O	1:A:453:GLU:HA	0.46	2.10	6	1
1:A:448:TYR:HA	1:A:454:GLU:O	0.45	2.12	11	5
1:A:434:GLU:HG2	1:A:451:ARG:NH1	0.44	2.28	4	2
1:A:439:LYS:HZ2	1:A:445:THR:HG23	0.44	1.73	11	1
1:A:459:LYS:HE2	1:A:463:LEU:O	0.44	2.13	13	1
1:A:434:GLU:HG2	1:A:449:ASN:HD21	0.43	1.68	6	2
1:A:444:LYS:HE2	1:A:457:TRP:CZ3	0.43	2.47	5	1
1:A:435:TRP:CE2	1:A:449:ASN:HB2	0.43	2.48	9	1
1:A:460:PRO:HB2	1:A:463:LEU:HB3	0.43	1.90	8	1
1:A:439:LYS:CE	1:A:445:THR:HG23	0.42	2.44	14	2
1:A:435:TRP:CE2	1:A:460:PRO:HB3	0.42	2.50	5	1
1:A:439:LYS:HB2	1:A:439:LYS:HZ3	0.42	1.74	5	1
1:A:447:TYR:O	1:A:455:SER:HA	0.42	2.15	8	1
1:A:459:LYS:HA	1:A:460:PRO:HD2	0.42	1.74	11	2
1:A:462:GLU:H	1:A:462:GLU:CD	0.41	2.18	1	1
1:A:438:TYR:C	1:A:445:THR:HG22	0.41	2.36	15	1

## 5.2 Torsion angles [i](#)

### 5.2.1 Protein backbone [i](#)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	30/37 (81%)	28±1 (95±2%)	1±1 (3±2%)	1±0 (2±2%)	10	49
All	All	600/740 (81%)	568 (95%)	19 (3%)	13 (2%)	10	49

All 1 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	441	ALA	13

### 5.2.2 Protein sidechains [i](#)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	28/32 (88%)	26±1 (92±4%)	2±1 (8±4%)	17	65
All	All	560/640 (88%)	518 (92%)	42 (8%)	17	65

All 9 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	437	GLU	18
1	A	445	THR	7
1	A	462	GLU	4
1	A	440	THR	3
1	A	439	LYS	3
1	A	461	GLN	2
1	A	444	LYS	2
1	A	453	GLU	2
1	A	458	GLU	1

### 5.2.3 RNA [i](#)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

### 5.3 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains [i](#)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

### 5.4 Carbohydrates [i](#)

There are no carbohydrates in this entry.

### 5.5 Ligand geometry [i](#)

There are no ligands in this entry.

### 5.6 Other polymers [i](#)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

### 5.7 Polymer linkage issues [i](#)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.

## 6 Chemical shift validation [i](#)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 43% for the well-defined parts and 42% for the entire structure.

### 6.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: input\_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *assigned\_chem\_shift\_list\_1*

#### 6.1.1 Bookkeeping [i](#)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	224
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	224
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	57

#### 6.1.2 Chemical shift referencing [i](#)

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

#### 6.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments [i](#)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 43%, i.e. 167 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 392. 0 out of 1 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	<sup>1</sup> H	<sup>13</sup> C	<sup>15</sup> N
Backbone	69/148 (47%)	49/59 (83%)	20/60 (33%)	0/29 (0%)
Sidechain	77/188 (41%)	71/111 (64%)	6/68 (9%)	0/9 (0%)
Aromatic	21/56 (38%)	19/28 (68%)	2/26 (8%)	0/2 (0%)
Overall	167/392 (43%)	139/198 (70%)	28/154 (18%)	0/40 (0%)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 42%, i.e. 190 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 457. 0 out of 2 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	<sup>1</sup> H	<sup>13</sup> C	<sup>15</sup> N
Backbone	81/183 (44%)	60/73 (82%)	21/74 (28%)	0/36 (0%)
Sidechain	88/218 (40%)	82/128 (64%)	6/80 (8%)	0/10 (0%)
Aromatic	21/56 (38%)	19/28 (68%)	2/26 (8%)	0/2 (0%)
Overall	190/457 (42%)	161/229 (70%)	29/180 (16%)	0/48 (0%)

### 6.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts ⓘ

The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, ppm	Expected range, ppm	Z-score
1	A	460	PRO	C	3.57	184.42 – 169.02	-112.4
1	A	455	SER	C	4.62	183.48 – 165.88	-96.6
1	A	456	THR	C	4.78	183.43 – 165.73	-95.9
1	A	458	GLU	C	2.50	186.83 – 167.03	-88.1
1	A	461	GLN	C	3.93	186.20 – 166.50	-87.5
1	A	459	LYS	C	3.71	186.58 – 166.78	-87.4
1	A	453	GLU	C	4.13	186.83 – 167.03	-87.3
1	A	462	GLU	C	4.19	186.83 – 167.03	-87.2
1	A	453	GLU	CD	7.02	192.68 – 172.48	-86.9
1	A	462	GLU	CD	7.37	192.68 – 172.48	-86.7
1	A	454	GLU	C	5.83	186.83 – 167.03	-86.4
1	A	458	GLU	CD	8.21	192.68 – 172.48	-86.3
1	A	454	GLU	CD	8.32	192.68 – 172.48	-86.3
1	A	463	LEU	C	3.75	187.06 – 166.96	-86.2
1	A	457	TRP	C	4.17	186.20 – 166.10	-85.6
1	A	457	TRP	CD2	7.18	134.55 – 120.45	-85.3
1	A	457	TRP	CZ2	7.11	121.76 – 106.66	-70.9
1	A	457	TRP	CE3	7.85	129.06 – 111.96	-65.9
1	A	460	PRO	CD	1.16	55.31 – 45.41	-49.7
1	A	460	PRO	CA	0.83	71.13 – 55.53	-40.1
1	A	456	THR	HG23	8.29	2.29 – -0.01	31.1
1	A	456	THR	HG22	8.29	2.29 – -0.01	31.1
1	A	456	THR	HG21	8.29	2.29 – -0.01	31.1
1	A	458	GLU	CA	1.50	67.86 – 46.86	-26.6
1	A	462	GLU	CA	1.78	67.86 – 46.86	-26.5
1	A	454	GLU	CA	1.92	67.86 – 46.86	-26.4
1	A	461	GLN	CA	1.73	67.31 – 45.91	-25.6
1	A	463	LEU	CA	1.06	66.36 – 44.96	-25.5
1	A	459	LYS	CA	1.16	67.97 – 45.97	-25.4
1	A	464	LYS	CA	1.50	67.97 – 45.97	-25.2
1	A	463	LEU	HD22	7.44	2.14 – -0.66	23.9

*Continued on next page...*

Continued from previous page...

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, ppm	Expected range, ppm	Z-score
1	A	463	LEU	HD23	7.44	2.14 – -0.66	23.9
1	A	463	LEU	HD21	7.44	2.14 – -0.66	23.9
1	A	456	THR	CA	0.97	75.37 – 49.07	-23.3
1	A	460	PRO	CG	2.44	32.66 – 21.76	-22.7
1	A	457	TRP	CA	2.97	70.54 – 44.84	-21.3
1	A	455	SER	HB2	9.18	5.18 – 2.58	20.4
1	A	461	GLN	HG3	7.39	3.75 – 0.85	17.6
1	A	461	GLN	HG2	6.72	3.67 – 0.97	16.3
1	A	460	PRO	HD3	8.35	5.52 – 1.72	12.5
1	A	459	LYS	H	1.24	11.24 – 5.14	-11.4
1	A	458	GLU	H	1.58	11.34 – 5.34	-11.3
1	A	463	LEU	H	1.14	11.47 – 4.97	-10.9
1	A	461	GLN	H	1.81	11.17 – 5.27	-10.9
1	A	464	LYS	H	1.58	11.24 – 5.14	-10.8
1	A	462	GLU	H	1.84	11.34 – 5.34	-10.8
1	A	454	GLU	H	2.06	11.34 – 5.34	-10.5
1	A	449	ASN	HB3	0.00	4.41 – 1.11	-8.4
1	A	455	SER	H	3.49	11.23 – 5.33	-8.1
1	A	457	TRP	HD1	9.92	8.95 – 5.35	7.7
1	A	456	THR	H	4.07	11.34 – 5.14	-6.7
1	A	457	TRP	H	3.42	12.24 – 4.34	-6.2
1	A	460	PRO	HB2	-0.06	3.82 – 0.32	-6.1
1	A	460	PRO	HB3	-0.13	3.81 – 0.21	-5.9
1	A	457	TRP	HE1	6.85	12.85 – 7.35	-5.9
1	A	449	ASN	HD22	4.34	9.59 – 4.69	-5.7
1	A	459	LYS	HB3	0.35	3.10 – 0.40	-5.2

### 6.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:



