



# Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report ⓘ

Aug 6, 2020 – 11:51 PM BST

PDB ID : 6RYQ  
Title : Winter flounder 1a in SDS micelles  
Authors : Mason, A.J.; Clarke, M.  
Deposited on : 2019-06-11

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at [validation@mail.wwpdb.org](mailto:validation@mail.wwpdb.org)

A user guide is available at

<https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp>

with specific help available everywhere you see the ⓘ symbol.

---

The following versions of software and data (see [references ⓘ](#)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity	:	4.02b-467
Percentile statistics	:	20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)
RCI	:	v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV	:	Wang et al. (2010)
ShiftChecker	:	2.13.1
Ideal geometry (proteins)	:	Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA)	:	Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP)	:	2.13.1


# 1 Overall quality at a glance

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:

*SOLUTION NMR*

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 36%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	NMR archive (#Entries)
Clashscore	158937	12864
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for  $\geq 3$ , 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions  $\leq 5\%$

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	A	20	

## 2 Ensemble composition and analysis ⓘ

This entry contains 100 models. Model 37 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues			
Well-defined core	Residue range (total)	Backbone RMSD (Å)	Medoid model
1	A:10-A:20 (11)	0.39	37

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 12 clusters and 3 single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	3, 9, 15, 21, 24, 25, 27, 29, 32, 43, 50, 52, 54, 56, 58, 61, 69, 70, 83, 90, 98
2	4, 11, 18, 20, 31, 37, 53, 62, 67, 68, 72, 76, 80, 82, 100
3	1, 12, 14, 30, 35, 42, 47, 48, 55, 71, 92, 94, 97
4	5, 6, 22, 36, 38, 39, 59, 77, 87
5	7, 34, 44, 46, 49, 51, 63, 81
6	10, 17, 28, 33, 74, 79, 84, 86
7	13, 19, 41, 73, 85, 93
8	8, 16, 26, 88, 95
9	23, 65, 75, 78
10	40, 64, 96
11	2, 57, 66
12	45, 91
Single-model clusters	60; 89; 99

### 3 Entry composition [i](#)

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 325 atoms, of which 172 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

- Molecule 1 is a protein called Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide.


Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms					Trace
1	A	20	Total	C	H	N	O	0
			325	100	172	31	22	

## 4 Residue-property plots

### 4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




### 4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble

Colouring as in section 4.1 above.


#### 4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




### 4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide



### 4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




### 4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




### 4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




### 4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.11 Score per residue for model 11

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.12 Score per residue for model 12

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.13 Score per residue for model 13

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.14 Score per residue for model 14

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.15 Score per residue for model 15

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.16 Score per residue for model 16

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide



#### 4.2.17 Score per residue for model 17


- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide






#### 4.2.18 Score per residue for model 18

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.19 Score per residue for model 19

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.20 Score per residue for model 20

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.21 Score per residue for model 21

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide



#### 4.2.22 Score per residue for model 22

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.23 Score per residue for model 23

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.24 Score per residue for model 24

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.25 Score per residue for model 25

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.26 Score per residue for model 26

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.27 Score per residue for model 27

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.28 Score per residue for model 28

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.29 Score per residue for model 29

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.30 Score per residue for model 30

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide



#### 4.2.31 Score per residue for model 31

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.32 Score per residue for model 32

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.33 Score per residue for model 33

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.34 Score per residue for model 34

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide



#### 4.2.35 Score per residue for model 35

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide



#### 4.2.36 Score per residue for model 36

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide



#### 4.2.37 Score per residue for model 37 (medoid)

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.38 Score per residue for model 38

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.39 Score per residue for model 39

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.40 Score per residue for model 40

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.41 Score per residue for model 41

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide



#### 4.2.42 Score per residue for model 42

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.43 Score per residue for model 43

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.44 Score per residue for model 44

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.45 Score per residue for model 45

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.46 Score per residue for model 46

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.47 Score per residue for model 47

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.48 Score per residue for model 48

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide



#### 4.2.49 Score per residue for model 49

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.50 Score per residue for model 50

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.51 Score per residue for model 51

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide



#### 4.2.52 Score per residue for model 52

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.53 Score per residue for model 53

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.54 Score per residue for model 54

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.55 Score per residue for model 55

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.56 Score per residue for model 56

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide



#### 4.2.57 Score per residue for model 57


- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide






#### 4.2.58 Score per residue for model 58

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.59 Score per residue for model 59

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.60 Score per residue for model 60

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.61 Score per residue for model 61

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.62 Score per residue for model 62

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.63 Score per residue for model 63

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.64 Score per residue for model 64

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.65 Score per residue for model 65

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.66 Score per residue for model 66

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.67 Score per residue for model 67

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.68 Score per residue for model 68

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.69 Score per residue for model 69

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.70 Score per residue for model 70

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.71 Score per residue for model 71

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.72 Score per residue for model 72

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.73 Score per residue for model 73

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.74 Score per residue for model 74

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.75 Score per residue for model 75

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.76 Score per residue for model 76

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.77 Score per residue for model 77

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.78 Score per residue for model 78

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide



#### 4.2.79 Score per residue for model 79

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.80 Score per residue for model 80

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.81 Score per residue for model 81

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.82 Score per residue for model 82

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.83 Score per residue for model 83

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.84 Score per residue for model 84

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.85 Score per residue for model 85

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.86 Score per residue for model 86

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.87 Score per residue for model 87

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.88 Score per residue for model 88

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide



#### 4.2.89 Score per residue for model 89

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.90 Score per residue for model 90

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.91 Score per residue for model 91

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.92 Score per residue for model 92

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.93 Score per residue for model 93

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.94 Score per residue for model 94

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.95 Score per residue for model 95

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.96 Score per residue for model 96

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide



#### 4.2.97 Score per residue for model 97


- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide






#### 4.2.98 Score per residue for model 98

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide




#### 4.2.99 Score per residue for model 99

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide



#### 4.2.100 Score per residue for model 100

- Molecule 1: Pleurocidin-like prepropolypeptide



## 5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview ⓘ

The models were refined using the following method: *simulated annealing*.

Of the 1000 calculated structures, 100 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the lowest energy*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
DYNAMO	structure calculation	
DYNAMO	refinement	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	87
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	87
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	36%

No validations of the models with respect to experimental NMR restraints is performed at this time.

## 6 Model quality

### 6.1 Standard geometry

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

### 6.2 Too-close contacts

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	77	84	84	7±1
All	All	7700	8400	8400	656

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 41.

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:12:ILE:C	1:A:14:GLY:N	0.59	2.56	38	100
1:A:12:ILE:C	1:A:14:GLY:H	0.56	2.04	18	100
1:A:19:HIS:ND1	1:A:19:HIS:N	0.55	2.53	24	4
1:A:19:HIS:N	1:A:19:HIS:ND1	0.55	2.54	15	6
1:A:15:ALA:O	1:A:16:ALA:HB3	0.54	2.03	28	99
1:A:20:LEU:N	1:A:20:LEU:HD12	0.53	2.18	1	7
1:A:20:LEU:HD12	1:A:20:LEU:N	0.53	2.17	80	7
1:A:12:ILE:O	1:A:17:LEU:N	0.52	2.43	45	91
1:A:17:LEU:O	1:A:19:HIS:N	0.52	2.43	98	60
1:A:12:ILE:O	1:A:14:GLY:N	0.51	2.44	29	62
1:A:20:LEU:CD1	1:A:20:LEU:N	0.50	2.74	80	8
1:A:20:LEU:N	1:A:20:LEU:CD2	0.50	2.74	30	1
1:A:20:LEU:CD2	1:A:20:LEU:N	0.50	2.74	40	2
1:A:18:ASP:O	1:A:19:HIS:CG	0.50	2.65	80	5

*Continued on next page...*

Continued from previous page...

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:18:ASP:N	1:A:18:ASP:OD1	0.50	2.45	26	1
1:A:20:LEU:HD23	1:A:20:LEU:N	0.49	2.22	54	1
1:A:19:HIS:O	1:A:19:HIS:CG	0.49	2.65	23	6
1:A:11:ILE:O	1:A:15:ALA:N	0.48	2.46	57	5
1:A:18:ASP:O	1:A:19:HIS:CD2	0.48	2.66	80	2
1:A:12:ILE:O	1:A:15:ALA:N	0.48	2.47	78	24
1:A:19:HIS:CG	1:A:19:HIS:O	0.47	2.66	6	4
1:A:18:ASP:OD2	1:A:19:HIS:CE1	0.46	2.68	29	1
1:A:16:ALA:O	1:A:20:LEU:N	0.46	2.49	73	2
1:A:20:LEU:HD22	1:A:20:LEU:N	0.45	2.26	40	1
1:A:20:LEU:N	1:A:20:LEU:HD22	0.45	2.26	30	1
1:A:19:HIS:O	1:A:19:HIS:ND1	0.45	2.50	80	1
1:A:20:LEU:N	1:A:20:LEU:CD1	0.44	2.79	5	6
1:A:17:LEU:C	1:A:19:HIS:N	0.43	2.71	41	1
1:A:18:ASP:OD2	1:A:18:ASP:N	0.43	2.51	62	1
1:A:18:ASP:C	1:A:19:HIS:CG	0.43	2.91	29	1
1:A:10:LYS:N	1:A:10:LYS:CD	0.42	2.82	5	1
1:A:19:HIS:N	1:A:19:HIS:CD2	0.42	2.86	62	1
1:A:11:ILE:O	1:A:15:ALA:CB	0.42	2.68	2	36
1:A:15:ALA:O	1:A:16:ALA:CB	0.42	2.67	10	2
1:A:14:GLY:C	1:A:16:ALA:H	0.42	2.19	41	3
1:A:18:ASP:C	1:A:19:HIS:CD2	0.41	2.93	80	1
1:A:20:LEU:HD23	1:A:20:LEU:C	0.41	2.35	17	1
1:A:11:ILE:O	1:A:15:ALA:HB3	0.41	2.15	89	1

## 6.3 Torsion angles ⓘ

### 6.3.1 Protein backbone ⓘ

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	10/20 (50%)	4±1 (36±11%)	4±1 (41±8%)	2±1 (23±7%)	0	1
All	All	1000/2000 (50%)	359 (36%)	408 (41%)	233 (23%)	0	1

All 5 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	12	ILE	100
1	A	10	LYS	64
1	A	18	ASP	60
1	A	19	HIS	6
1	A	16	ALA	3

### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains [i](#)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	7/14 (50%)	7±1 (94±8%)	0±1 (6±8%)	25	74
All	All	700/1400 (50%)	661 (94%)	39 (6%)	25	74

All 5 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	19	HIS	15
1	A	17	LEU	15
1	A	20	LEU	5
1	A	18	ASP	2
1	A	10	LYS	2

### 6.3.3 RNA [i](#)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

## 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains [i](#)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

### 6.5 Carbohydrates [i](#)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

## 6.6 Ligand geometry

There are no ligands in this entry.

## 6.7 Other polymers

There are no such molecules in this entry.

## 6.8 Polymer linkage issues

There are no chain breaks in this entry.

## 7 Chemical shift validation

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 36% for the well-defined parts and 29% for the entire structure.

### 7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working\_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *WF1a-chemicalshift.php*

#### 7.1.1 Bookkeeping

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	87
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	87
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

#### 7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

#### 7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 36%, i.e. 44 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 122. 0 out of 2 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	<sup>1</sup> H	<sup>13</sup> C	<sup>15</sup> N
Backbone	22/55 (40%)	22/22 (100%)	0/22 (0%)	0/11 (0%)
Sidechain	22/60 (37%)	22/34 (65%)	0/25 (0%)	0/1 (0%)
Aromatic	0/7 (0%)	0/4 (0%)	0/2 (0%)	0/1 (0%)
Overall	44/122 (36%)	44/60 (73%)	0/49 (0%)	0/13 (0%)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 29%, i.e. 73 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 255. 0 out of 4 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	<sup>1</sup> H	<sup>13</sup> C	<sup>15</sup> N
Backbone	39/100 (39%)	39/40 (98%)	0/40 (0%)	0/20 (0%)
Sidechain	34/136 (25%)	34/79 (43%)	0/49 (0%)	0/8 (0%)
Aromatic	0/19 (0%)	0/10 (0%)	0/7 (0%)	0/2 (0%)
Overall	73/255 (29%)	73/129 (57%)	0/96 (0%)	0/30 (0%)

#### 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts [i](#)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

#### 7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots [i](#)

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:

